# The Impact of Formal Teacher Mentor Training to Scaffold Beginning Teachers in **K-12 Settings**

Penny Wallin, Ed.D. Assistant Professor of Educational Leadership Department of Leadership and Foundations Mississippi State University-Meridian 1000 Highway 19 North Meridian, MS 39301-5799, USA.

### Matthew Boggan, Ed.D.

Associate Professor of Educational Leadership Department of Leadership and Foundations Mississippi State University-Meridian 1000 Highway 19 North Meridian, MS 39301-5799, USA.

# Abstract

Beginning teachers face many challenges as they move from the security of university to K-12 classrooms with students. Through formal teacher mentor training, experienced educators have access to tools and scaffolding skills to increase success and retention of new teachers. This study examined Teacher Mentor Training that was endorsed by the state's Department of Education and offered statewide. The purpose of this study was twofold: to explore the usefulness of materials presented in training Teacher Mentors to work with beginning teachers, and to analyze recommendations for strengthening support for new teachers. This paper examined self-reports through a researcher-designed survey and reflective comment section. Data from eighty-eight trained Mentors were collected after a year of on-site use with the materials in K-12 settings. The results of this study offered clear indication of documents that mentors found useful, as well as suggestions to improve effective support with beginning teachers in their first year in the teaching profession.

**Keywords:** Teacher mentoring, training materials, new teacher expectations, beginning teacher support

# **1. Introduction**

It is estimated that almost 50% of all new teachers will leave the profession within their first five years in the classroom (NCES, 2012). The impact of this phenomenon has driven state departments of education, teacher preparation programs, and K-12 school districts to increase ways to retain competent new teachers in schools. Identifying expert, experienced teachers to mentor and coach new teachers, and providing the mentors with training and skills in supporting the learning of adult learners have become recommended practices (AACTE, 2010). The teacher mentor is responsible for coaching, observing, co-planning, and sharing information, from school procedures, rules, and expectations to collaborating with the novice teacher to utilize curriculum and pedagogical strategies that meet students' learning needs. Formal Teacher Mentoring Programs offer materials and tools designed to scaffold new teachers during their first year as professionals. Pragmatic feedback from trained mentor teachers provides one method of assessing the usefulness of the training and materials in assisting and supporting new teacher growth.

# 2. Literature Review

Teachers are considered the most pivotal determinant of student success. An important area of research for teacher preparation universities, school districts, and state departments of education has focused on best practices to support and retain excellent teachers once they are employed at a school as a new teacher.

# 2.1 Teachers and Turnover

Multiple studies indicate that the single most powerful factor on student achievement is the classroom teacher (Hattie, Clinton, Thompson, & Schmitt-Davis, 1996; Ballou, Sanders, & Wright, 2004: Rockoff, 2004; Hanuschek, Kain, O'Brien, & Rivkin, 2005), yet there is an alarming turnover rate in the profession, especially among beginning teachers. The National Commission on Teaching and America's future (2007) estimated the cost of teacher turnover in public schools at more than \$7 billion per year. According to the National Commission on Teaching and America's Future, "novice teachers have gaps in skills and knowledge, but also in areas of expertise; they learn alongside experienced teachers in a community of learners that is continually evolving" (2005, p.5).

# 2.2 New Teacher Expectations

From the date of hire, the school expects that beginning teachers be placed in isolated situations and be able to perform the same duties and deliver the same material as experienced teachers. New teachers are required to deal with common concerns including "classroom management, process management, discipline, and dealing with parents" (Nash, page x). There is growing, general consensus about the need to support new teachers (Moir, 2003, Nash, 2010). Moir (2010) suggests "[with] targeted, tailored support [we can] change the arc of the new teacher's learning curve" (p. 17). Selection of a knowledgeable, caring, enthusiastic, empathic teacher to serve as mentors is key to success for beginning teachers (Breaux, 2011).

# 2.3 Mentoring Parameters, Partners, Roles

Concepts of the meaning of "mentoring" range from an informal arrangement where a colleague/friend at the school answers questions to a formal arrangement where mentors are identified for their expertise and experience and offer ongoing and sustained support for new teachers (Brock & Grady, 1997). The variation in the responsibilities, the training, the implementation of support programs, and the levels of mentor involvement and roles, range from role model, peer pal, or supporter to coach, sponsor, protector, and mentor (Mertz, 2004). According to Carver (2008), mentoring begins with the school principal, who plays a strategic role to insure that new teachers can focus on their teaching the first year by placing trained mentors with them, and by understanding the dimensions and importance of mentors' work in scaffolding novice professionals.

### 2.4 Formal Mentor Training

There has been a proliferation of formal mentoring programs in the 21<sup>st</sup> century as a viable way to support new teachers and prevent the 30%-50% attrition rate in the first five years in the profession (Portner, H., 2005; Croasmun, Hampton & Herrmann, 2006, Hobson, Harris, Buckner-Manley, & Smith, 2012). Odell (2006) notes that mentoring is "typically associated with having experienced teachers work with novice teachers to help ease the novices' transition from a university student learning to teach to full-time teacher in the classroom" (p. 203). Breaux (2011) states, "no matter how good your teaching skills may be, mentoring is different from teaching, and it requires structured training" (p.xv).

The New Teacher Center proposes specific areas for direct mentor training and support, including modeling of best teaching practices, understanding of standards, assessment techniques and lesson planning, and delivery strategies, plus the ability to reflect and co-learn. Moir (2003) identifies the unique impact that trained mentors can have on new teachers:

Mentors have an impact on new teachers in ways that no amount of training can. The real-life classroom represents questions that only real-life experience can answer. Mentors help provide those answers...[with] practical, concrete advice; pose important questions to prompt reflection; model teaching techniques in the classroom; observe and offer feedback; and offer another point of view at a time when it is easy to lose all perspective. Their experience helps the novice teacher balance professional development with day-to-day details. (p.3)

### 3. Background of Study

A writing team from two teacher preparation universities and two districts in a predominantly rural southern state, in collaboration with the State's Department of Education, were charged to assemble a model program that could be disseminated for training in school districts and universities to inform and better equip teacher mentors working with new teachers in their first year as professional educator. Although the State Legislature had mandated new teacher support in the 1970s, but there were no consistent guidelines or materials available for use.

The writing team was charged to gather and train Teacher Mentors across the state with best practices, materials, and information, which were compiled in a manual for them. The training materials focused on three sections: Mentor Information, Beginning Teacher Information, and InTASC Teaching Standards.

Topics addressed under the Mentor Section included definitions of Mentoring, Mentoring Roles and Responsibilities, Types of Mentor/New Teacher Interactions, Mentor Protocol, Mentoring Timeframe (Collaboration log), Communication and Questioning Techniques, Suggested Discussion Starters (questionnaire and checklists), Sample mentor/mentee scenarios, and an Active Mentor Rubric. Ideas were adapted from Edutopia, Santa Cruz New Teacher Center, Michigan Department of Education Office of School Improvement, Mississippi State University Teacher Study Groups, Austin Educational Associates, Mississippi Department of Education Teacher Center, and Grand Rapids Public Schools.

The Beginning Teacher Information Section included the Phases of First Year Teachers, (Moir, 2003), Supporting New Teachers (Scherer, 2008), Guidelines for Helping Beginning Teachers (Gordon and Maxey, 2000), Needs of New Teachers (Odell, 2006), Growth Continuum of New Teacher Development, Brain-Compatible Teaching and Learning (Bailey, 2001, Jensen, 2009), and sample questionnaires to ascertain areas of strength and need.

The section on Teaching Standards/Best Practices provided the InTASC Model Core Teaching Standards (CCSSO, 2011), which outline what teachers should know and be able to do to insure that every K-12 student reaches the goal of being ready to enter college or the workforce in today's world...across all subject areas and grade levels to improve student achievement (CCSSO), and which included definitions and explanations on teacher performance, essential knowledge, and critical dispositions. Additionally, information on the National Board for Professional Teaching Five Core Propositions and What teachers should know and be able to do (1989) provided ready guides on best practices to share with new teachers.

The Formal Teacher Mentoring training consisted of a 7-hour training session where a compilation of best practices, materials, and information was discussed, dissected, practiced, and presented in a resource manual to participants for ongoing reference and use with beginning teachers at their sites.

# 4. Method

With a rich menu of materials and information from which to draw as a result of the Mentoring Training sessions, trained Mentors were asked to collect information and self-assess the usefulness of the training materials, based on their pragmatic experiences with their novice teachers. One hundred and fifty trained teacher mentors working in K-12 schools and currently serving as mentors were randomly selected to document their use of the training materials with beginning teachers. A participant observer approach was suggested to collect information on "naturally occurring behaviors in context" (Mack, Woodsong, MacQueen, Guest, Namely, 2005, p.2), as 'insiders' in activities. At the same time, they were asked to record observations as 'outsiders' that captured 'insights into contexts, relationships, and behavior" (Mack, Woodsong, MacQueen, Guest, Namely, p.15). By completing the anonymous surveys and open -ended responses a year after formal training, voluntary participants provided information on the viability of the training and materials.

Eighty-eight teachers returned the completed instrument. The survey consisted of 15 statements, using a Likert scale, ranging from strongly agree to strongly disagree, and each with a comment section. The instrument covered statements on the specific materials provided in the training to support the mentoring process (5 items), and perceptional information on the usefulness of the training components and materials used to standardize and support Teacher Mentor work (10 items).

# 5. Results

The Frequency Table (Figure 1.1) shows the results of the survey based on the responses from the eighty-eight teacher mentors. The table is divided into statements on Specific Teacher Mentoring Tools (5 items), and statements on Perceptions of Information provided to support Teacher Mentoring work (10 items). The Frequency Table embeds the quantitative results, with analysis and qualitative open-ended comments on each statement.

# Figure 1.1.

# **Table 1.1 Frequency Table**

Statement	n	%
I am a teacher mentor from		
K-3	37	42
4-5	20	23
6-8	12	14
9-12	19	21

# **Impact of Formal Teacher Mentoring Training on Mentoring Practices**

Analysis: There is representation of teachers from all grade levels.

Statement	n	%

### I use the knowledge of the Phases of First-Year Teachers to support my beginning teacher in word and action

Strongly Agree	24	27
Agree	61	70
Disagree	2	2
Strongly Disagree	1	1

Analysis: Ninety-seven percent (97%) of mentors agree/strongly agree that they use the knowledge of the Phases of First-Year Teachers to support novice teachers.

Comments: We discussed the standards and brainstormed ways to meet them through the up and down times. Knowing the Phases was helpful to identify how to best respond.

We attended the Common Core district training together and have worked together for our formal evaluations.

Statements		n	%
•	<i>Mentor Protocol to assess needs, cus, support growth, and promote y.</i>		
Stron	gly Agree	45	52
Agree	e	38	44
Disagree		4	4
Strongly Disagree		0	0
Analysis:	Ninety-six percent (96%) of mentors follow the mentor protocol.		
Comments:	I follow the Protocol as best as I can.		
	Time is an issue.		
	I don't remember the Mentor Protoco	<i>l</i> .	
	Having steps in how to address issues	with my new teacher was he	elpful.
Statements		n	%

Stron	ngly Agree	37	42
Agree		49	56
Disag		2	2
Strongly Disagree		0	0
Analysis:	Ninety eight percent (98%) of mentors co	onsider themselves active	
Comments: <i>I consider myself a great mentor because I care about t care about my profession.</i>		-	I teach and I
	I do not know what the Active Mentor Ru		_
	The Rubric was a self assessment guide for	r my work with my new te	
Statement		n	%
in helping ne	ing to Innovating has served as a guide w teachers self assess their growth.	17	20
	ngly Agree	17	20
Agre		70	61
Disag		8 1	9 1
Suoi	ngly Disagree	1	1
Analysis:	Eighty one percent (81%) of mentors use their growth.	this guide to help novice	teachers assess
Analysis: Comments:		a learning journey in edu	ucation.
-	their growth. Whether new or experienced, we are on Sadly, time to reflect is an ongoing issue. The Continuum gave us a structure for ou	a learning journey in edu	ucation.
Comments: Statement I wear the va Teacher, Coo Advocate, Pr	their growth. Whether new or experienced, we are on Sadly, time to reflect is an ongoing issue. The Continuum gave us a structure for ou to another. rious mentor hats to include Facilitator, ach, Trusted Listener, Resource, Learner, oblem Solver, and Collaborator.	a learning journey in edu ur discussions on growth n	acation. from one week
Comments: Statement I wear the va Teacher, Coo Advocate, Pr Stron	their growth. Whether new or experienced, we are on Sadly, time to reflect is an ongoing issue. The Continuum gave us a structure for ou to another. Frious mentor hats to include Facilitator, ach, Trusted Listener, Resource, Learner, oblem Solver, and Collaborator. mgly Agree	a learning journey in edu ur discussions on growth	cation. from one week %
Comments: Statement I wear the va Teacher, Coo Advocate, Pr	their growth. Whether new or experienced, we are on Sadly, time to reflect is an ongoing issue. The Continuum gave us a structure for ou to another. Trious mentor hats to include Facilitator, ach, Trusted Listener, Resource, Learner, oblem Solver, and Collaborator. ngly Agree e	a learning journey in edu ur discussions on growth n	cation. from one week % 60
Comments: Statement I wear the va Teacher, Coa Advocate, Pr Stron Agre Disag	their growth. Whether new or experienced, we are on Sadly, time to reflect is an ongoing issue. The Continuum gave us a structure for ou to another. Trious mentor hats to include Facilitator, ach, Trusted Listener, Resource, Learner, oblem Solver, and Collaborator. ngly Agree e	a learning journey in edu ur discussions on growth n 53 35	cation. from one week % 60 40
Comments: Statement I wear the va Teacher, Coa Advocate, Pr Stron Agre Disag	their growth. Whether new or experienced, we are on Sadly, time to reflect is an ongoing issue. The Continuum gave us a structure for ou to another. Trious mentor hats to include Facilitator, ach, Trusted Listener, Resource, Learner, oblem Solver, and Collaborator. ngly Agree e gree	a learning journey in edu ur discussions on growth n 53 35 0 0	from one week % 60 40 0

Statement		n	%
Teacher Eva	<sup>9</sup> Common Core Standards and State luation System, I collaborate with m <u>a</u> acher as we learn together.		
Stron	ngly Agree	44	51
Agree		41	47
Disag		2	2
Stron	ngly Disagree	0	0
Analysis:	Collaboration is strong, with ninet collaborate with their beginning tea Evaluation System.		
Comments:	It was informative to talk together a meet them, and it was convenient to We attended the common core dist for our formative and summative The days of the closed door and w	to have them easily accessible in trict training together and have v evaluations.	the manual.
Statement		n	%
(INTASC Pr	<i>Mississippi Teaching Standards</i> <i>inciples) in communicating best prac</i> ngly Agree	etices. 28	31
Agre		52	60
Disag		8	9
Stron	ngly Disagree	0	0
Analysis:	Ninety-one percent (91%) use th communicate best practices.	e 10 Mississippi Teaching Stan	adards/InTASC Principles to
Comments:	They are posted in our classroom The best practices discussed were While I do believe that I met most the list of standards to use while The Standards served as a guide f	those from me and other teacher of these practices in mentoring, mentoring. My experiences guid	I did not use
Statement		n	%
-	anded information in the Mentoring Principles to provide resources to my		
Stron	ngly Agree	19	21
Agre		55	63
Disag		12	14
Stron	ngly Disagree	2	2
Analysis:	Eighty-four percent (84%) of men novice teachers.	tors use the mentoring manual as	s a resource for

Comments: I made sure that we discussed all guidelines that came into question. I have worked through some of the suggestions and articles in the Principles section as needed, but I did not consistently use the Manual. I used the Mentor Questionnaires and Checklist to help me in interacting with my beginning teacher.

Statement		n	%
-	laboration Log to document the	interactions	
with my begi	inning teacher.		
Stroi	ngly Agree	33	37
Agree		36	41
Disagree		19	22
Stroi	ngly Disagree	0	0
Analysis:	lysis: Seventy-eight percent (78%) of mentors keep a collaboration log with their mentor teachers.		
each interaction since many times these hap after school.		ccurately, but it is difficult to remember to record times these happen in the hallways and before and document these interactions because they were	
	sometimes spontaneous and		
Statement		n	%
I use a Ment	or Questionnaire and/or Check	list to	
inform areas	of interaction with my beginni	ing teacher.	
Stroi	ngly Agree	18	20
Agree		49	56
Disa	gree	21	24
Stroi	ngly Disagree	0	0
Analysis:	Seventy-six percent (76%) o the novice teachers.	f mentors use a questionnaire and/or checklist with	
Comments:		did not use it often. We interact so much on a daily uestionnaire is not necessary.	,
	We created our own document material and school activitie	tation (self made) checklist that worked directly s. The model was helpful.	with our subjec
Statement		n	%
	w Teacher Assessment Question I can support my beginning tea		
Stroi	ngly Agree	12	14
Agre		49	56
Disa	gree	26	30
Stroi	ngly Disagree	0	0
Analysis: Comments:	We created our own docume We have open lines of comm There is no need for me or h help, she asks and I frequer		ри

Statement		n	%
	tion on brain-compatible teachin		
	been helpful in working with m	y beginning	
teacher.			
Stron	gly Agree	9	10
Agree Disagree		55	64
		22	25
Strongly Disagree 1 1   Analysis: Seventy-four percent (74%) of mentors use information on brain-compatible teaching and learning with beginning teachers. 1			1
			mpatible
Comments:	teachers. Time is an issue. I did not feel ready to referenc The information on how stude	s cutting edge research to share with o ce this material. nts' brains learn can help me in worki tand how to teach today's learners.	
Statement		n	%
Agre Disag	gly Agree e	13 61 10 1	15 72 12 1
Analysis:	Eighty-seven percent (87%) o communicate best practices.	f mentors believe NBPTS provide info	ormation to
Comments:		his material with my new teacher. Thelped me talk about quality teaching	g by
Statement		n	%
-	the Manual on Teacher Mentor my work as a Mentor.	ing to be	
Stron	gly Agree	18	20
Agre		56	64
Disag	F Contraction of the second	13	15
Stron	gly Disagree	1	1
Analysis: Comments:			y peers as my

tatement n %				
The Teacher Mentoring Training provides a framework with usable information as I serve as a Mentor for a new teacher.				
Strongly Agree	23	26		
Agree	61	70		
Disagree	4	4		
Strongly Disagree	0	0		

Analysis: Ninety-six percent (96%) of mentors believe that teacher mentoring training provides usable information.

Comments: *I think more of the training should be based on communication skills between mentor and mentee.* 

The materials I was given in training were a very valuable resource as I worked with my new teacher.

The training gave us a common understanding about teacher mentoring.

### 6. Discussion

The purpose of this study was to examine the experiences and perceptions of usefulness of the training and materials to scaffold new teachers. According to the survey responses and comments, there was overall consensus that all components were successful in providing materials which assisted teacher mentors in their work with new teachers. The findings supported the importance of formal mentoring training and materials for teacher mentors in their scaffolding with new teachers with common language, parameters, and expectations. Specifically,

- 1. Formal training provided teacher mentors with a common language and understanding of roles and expectations through relevant, research-based information on the breadth and depth of mentoring.
- 2. Formal training provided mentors with examples of best practices in teaching to complement their professional experiential base.
- 3. The materials provided mentors with resources to use with new teachers, although time to adequately utilize the resources was a recurring issue of concern.
- 4. More training in features of the training is needed, specifically in the areas of communication skills and brain-based teaching.

### 7. Future Research Options

This study was limited to pragmatic and perceptional responses from trained teacher mentors. Based on the data analysis, it is reasonable to consider that providing experienced teachers with planned, formal training and materials favorably impacted their awareness and ability to mentor new teachers. As a follow up, the researchers will utilize this survey to emphasize the areas where the mentor teachers had 15% or more *disagree/strongly disagree* responses. Specific areas to target at future teacher mentor trainings may include effectively using the resources and examples on the Standards, exploring expedient options for record keeping and time management techniques, practicing more questioning and communication techniques, and focusing on brain compatible teaching strategies.

Future research will allow for a survey of the impact of the mentoring year as experienced and perceived by new teachers, and compare beginning teacher responses with mentor responses. Additionally, it will examine how the 'team' experience of the teacher mentor and new teacher may serve as a bridge to and provide a pilot experience into Professional Learning Communities (Dufour, Dufour, Eaker, & Many, 2006).

### 8. Conclusion

The ultimate goal of Formal Teacher Mentoring is to support new teachers through quality, systematic interactions with trained mentors in order to provide a scaffolding system of best practices for retention of beginning educators. Based on the findings of this study, it is clear that offering systematic training and readily-accessible materials equip teacher mentors with tools that are helpful in meeting the needs of new teachers. By continuously improving the formal training components, materials, and the skill bank for mentor teachers to scaffold newcomers at the beginning stages of their professional journey, the outcome is promising that every classroom will be equipped with a confident, knowledgeable, caring teacher to positively impact 21<sup>st</sup> century students.

### 9. References

- American Association of College for Teacher Education. (2010). *The clinical preparation of teachers: A policy brief.* Washington, DC: American Association of Colleges for Teachers Education.
- Bailey, B. (2001). Conscious discipline. Oviedo, FL: Loving Guidance.
- Ballou, D., Sanders, W., & Wright, P. (2004). Controlling for student background value-added assessment of teachers. *Journal of Educational and Behavioral Statistics*, 29(1), 37-66.
- Bransford, J., Darling-Hammond, L., LePage, P. (2005). Introduction. In L. Darling-Hammond & J. Bransford (Eds.). *Preparing teachers for a changing world: What teachers should learn and be able to do* (pp.1-39). San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Breaux, A. (2011). 101 "answers" for new teachers and their mentors: Effective teaching tips for daily classroom use. Larchmont, NY: Eye on Education.
- Brock, B.& Grady, M. (1997). From first-year to first-rate: Principals guiding beginning teachers. Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin Press.
- Carver, C. (2008). The Principal's Role in New Teacher Induction. In Scherer, M. Editor (Ed.), *Keeping Good Teachers* (pp.33-41). Alexandria, VA: ASCD.
- CCSSO Interstate Teacher Assessment and Support Consortium. (2011). InTASC model core teaching standards: A resource for state dialogue. Washington, D.C.
- Croasmun, J., Hampton, D., &Herrmann, S. (2006). Teacher Attrition: Is time running out? *Retrieved from: http://horizon.unc.edu/projects/issues/papers/Hampton.asp*
- Dufour, R., Dufour, R., Eaker, R. & Many, T. (2006). *Learning by doing: A handbook for professional learning communities at work.* Bloomington, IN: Solution Tree Press.
- Hanushek, E.A., Kain, J.F., O'Brien, D.M., & Rivkin, S.G. (2005). *The market for teacher quality* (NBER Working Paper 11154). Cambridge, MA: National Bureau of Economic Research.
- Hattie, J. A., Clinton, J. C., Thompson, M., & Schmitt-Davis, H. (1996). *Identifying expert teachers*. Chapel Hill, NC: North Carolina Association for Research in Education.
- Hattie, J.A., & Jaeger, R.J. (in review). Distinguishing Expert Teachers from Experienced and Novice Teachers.
- Hobson, L., Harris, D., Buckner-Manley, K., Smith, P. (2012). The Importance of Mentoring Novice and Pre-Service Teachers: Findings from a HBCU student teaching program. *Educational Foundations*, (Summer-Fall), 67-80.
- Hord, S., & Sommers, W.A. (2008). Leading professional learning communities: Voices from research and practice. Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin Press.
- Jensen, E. (2005). Teaching with the brain in mind. Alexandria, VA: ASCD.
- Lipton, L. & Wellman, B, (2004). *Data-driven dialogue: A facilitator's guide to collaborative inquiry*. Sherman, CT: Mira Via.
- Lipton, L. & Wellman, B. (2005). Cultivating learning-focused relationships between mentors and their proteges. In H. Portner (Ed.), *Teacher mentoring and induction: The state of the art and beyond* (pp.149-163). Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin Press.
- Mack, N., Woodsong, C., MacQueen, K., Guest, G., Namely, E. (2005). *Qualitative research methods: A data collector's field guide*. Research Triangle Park, NC: Family Health International.
- Mertz, N.T. (2004). What's a mentor, anyway? Educational Adminstration Quarterly, 40(4), 541-560.

- Moir, E., Barlin, D., Gless, J., & Miles, J. (2009). New teacher mentoring: Hopes and promise for improving teacher effectiveness. Harvard Education Press.
- Moir, E. (2003). Launching the next generation of teachers through quality induction. National Commission on Teaching and America's Future. Paper presented at State Partners. NewYork: NY.
- Moir, E. (2010). Mentors accelerating beginning teacher and student learning. *Reflections*. New Teacher Center, Vol.XII, (2): 1,3.
- Nash, R. (2010). The active mentor. Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin Press.
- National Board For Professional Teaching Standards (1989). Five core propositions and what teachers should know and be able to do. Arlington, VA: NPBTS.
- National Commission on Teaching and America's Future. (2005). Induction into learning communities. Retrieved May 16, 2007, from http://nctaf.org/documents/NCTAF\_Induction\_Paper\_2005.pdf.
- National Commission on Teaching and America's Future (2007). The high cost of teacher turnover. Policy brief. Washington, DC: National Commission on Teaching and America's Future.
- Odell, S. J. (2006). Overview and framework. In J. R. Dangel (Ed.), Research on teacher induction: Teacher education yearbook 14 (pp.203-211). Lanham, MD: Rowman & Littlefield Education.
- Portner, H. (2005). Embedding induction and mentoring into the school's culture. In H. Portner (Ed.), Teacher mentoring and induction: The state of the art and beyond (pp.75-94). Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin Press.
- Rockoff, J. (2004). The impact of individual teachers on student achievement: Evidence from panel data. American Economics Review 94(2): 247-252.
- Rowley, J.B. (2006). Becoming a high performance mentor: A guide to reflection and action. Thousand Oaks: Corwin Press.
- Scherer, M. (Ed.) (2008). Keeping Good Teachers (pp.33-41). Alexandria, VA: ASCD.
- U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics. (2012)
- Wong, H. K. (2005). New teacher induction: The foundation for comprehensive, coherent, and sustained professional development. In H. Portner (Ed.), Teacher mentoring and induction: The state of the art and beyond (pp. 41-58). Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin Press.